

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,782	10/10/2006	. Keizo Yoshida	403827	4492
23548 I EVDIC VOI	7590 10/27/2008 T & MAVER I TD		EXAMINER.	
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD 700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW			DONNELLY, JEROME W	
SUITE 300 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-3960		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	PAPER NUMBER
WISHINGIC	71, 20 2000 3700		3764	
				,
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(a)				
,		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/599,782	YOSHIDA ET AL.				
5	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	·	Jerome W. Donnelly	3764				
Pariod	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
	• •	VISSETTO EXPIRE 7 MON	ITH(S) OD THIDTY (30) DAVS				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE							
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispos	sition of Claims		•				
4)[4) Claim(s) and is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) [☑ Claim(s& <u>avd</u> 7is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·				
8)[☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)[☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f) a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. JEROME DONNELLY							
			PRIMARY EXAMINER				
		\(\frac{1}{2}\)					
Attachn		/ 0	(DTO 412)				
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) 🔼 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) aper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/599,782

Art Unit: 3764

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pennebaker et al.

Pennebaker et al discloses a device comprising: pedals, a drive transmission means, comprising: a gear and a sprocket a motor means col. 2, line 38, a load control a detecting portion and judgment means fig. 3, 4 and 6.

Pennebaker however does not disclose his device as including a pulley and a belt.

The examiner however notes that it is well known and obvious in the art to substitute chains and sprockets for pulley's and belts.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Note the title and content of the device of Tsai, Loffler et al Nozaki and Ries-Mueller et al. Art Unit: 3764

Note the overall device of Crasset.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerome Donnelly at telephone number (571)272-4975.

Jerome Donnelly

JEROME DONNELLY
PRIMARY EXAMINER